UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,490	09/25/2003	Eytan R. Barnea	120785.0310	8761	
Pepper Hamilto	7590 06/14/2007 on LLP		EXAM	INER	
Firm 21269			CANELLA, KAREN A		
500 Grant Street One Mellon Center, 50th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643		
	.02.19		1043		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,490	BARNEA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Karen A. Canella	1643	

	Karen A. Canella	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a like the li	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	four Alfindle					
	KARENA, CANPLLA PH.D					
	PEIMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has presented additional new claims which would be rejected both under 112, 1st paragraph for lacking adequate written description because the recitation of n isolated peptide "having" a sequence is synonymous with an isolated peptide comprising a sequence, and thus the reasons of record as set forth on page s 2-3 would apply to the new claims. Further, the new claims would be rejected under 112, 1st paragraph as lacking enablement for the reasons of record as set forth on page 3 of the final office action. Further, claims 9-21 would remain rejected under 112, first paragraph for both written description and enablement; claim 22 would remain rejected as lacking enablement..